



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,960	09/11/2006	Peter Bauer	2003P01107WOUS	3690
46726	7590	01/22/2009	EXAMINER	
BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			ROGERS, LAKIYA G	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		3744		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/22/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/567,960	BAUER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	LAKIYA ROGERS	3744

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAKIYA ROGERS (3) MARK TAYLOR.

(2) FRANTZ JULES. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Simmons et al., (WO/012350) AND Holzer et al. (US 2002/0014086).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Taylor discussed amending claim 22 to include the additional limitation of a third zone for the evaporator housing in order to overcome the Simmons and Holzer references cited by the examiner. Mr. Taylor was notified that the amendment would be examined for patentability and addition of new matter once the amendment is submitted to the office.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Frantz F. Jules/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner